Formal Modeling and Discrete-Time Analysis of BPEL Web Services

EOMAS 2008

June 16-17, 2008



Sylvain.Rampacek@u-bourgogne.fr LE2I (UMR CNRS 5158) - A5

Radu Mateescu

Radu.Mateescu@inria.fr INRIA - VASY





Summary

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Modeling and Analysis Approach
 - Translation from BPEL to discrete-time LTS
 - Analysis of discrete-time LTSs
- 3. Case Study: A Web Service for GPS Navigation
- 4. Discrete-time LTS analysis
- 5. Conclusion

1. Introduction

Web services architecture



SOAP

HTTP, FTP, etc.

Web services: protocols and languages XML technologies

- Communication protocol: SOAP
 - describe intermediary nodes (routing)
 - transport data (using XML)
- Description language: WSDL
 - describe the interface of the service
 - including types, parameters and methods

Behavioral description language: BPEL

- describe business-process
- show articulation between each actions (including timing constraints)



Motivation Analysis of Web services

Formal modeling and analysis of business processes

- Web services described in BPEL
- Our approach :
 - Defined a formal semantics of BPEL terms
 - algebraic rules, taking into account the discrete-timing aspects
 - Automated generation of models from BPEL specifications
 - using an exhaustive simulation based on the formal semantics rules (using WSMod tool)
 - Analysis of resulting models by using verification tools for concurrent systems (CADP)

2. Modeling and Analysis Approach

Platform for Web service modeling and analysis Approach



2.1 Translation from BPEL to discrete-time LTS

Behavior of a Web service Actions and Processes in our formal semantics

Elementary actions

- send/receive (!/?)
- internal action (τ), terminating action ($\sqrt{}$)
- elapsing time (X)

Elementary processes

elementary action associated

Structured processes

 such as sequences of actions/processes, loops, choices, ...

Advanced processes

such as guarded execution by events or time, parallel execution

Behavior of a Web service Obtained by WSMod tool

WSMod tool:

- exhaustive simulation of BPEL description
- discrete and continuous time representations

Two inputs:

- a Web service description in BPEL
- a formal representation of BPEL semantics: based on Algebra of Timed Processes (ATP)

Results:

- dtLTS (discrete time)
- timed automaton (dense time)



Timed semantics of BPEL process "elementary" processes (extracts)



Discrete and continuous time rules

Only **discrete time** rules

Timed semantics of BPEL process "structured" processes (extracts)



2.2 Analysis of discrete-time LTSs

CADP toolbox

- Developed by INRIA-VASY team
- Contains currently over 40 tools and libraries for LTS manipulation
 - batch mode: SVL, interactive mode: EUCALYPTUS

An LTS can be represented by two methods:

- explicitly: a list of states and transitions encoded into a file in the BCG format
- implicitly: a successor function given as a C program, complying to the interface defined by Open/Caesar

In the sequel, we use the model checker Evaluator 4

evaluate discrete-time properties on the dtLTS

3. Case Study: A Web Service for GPS Navigation

A Web Service for GPS Navigation



An initialization step

- login
- get position
- get destination

A main loop

- navigation mode
- configuration mode

Zoom: login activity



Zoom: position activity





- The user must indicate its current position
- The service returns PosOk or Nok
 - Depending whether the position is valid or not
- Same for destination activity

Zoom: main loop



The main loop is guarded by a kind of "ping alive"

- the user can't stay in *navigation* mode or configuration mode more than X seconds
- "alive" = get itinerary (for example)

BPEL process:

 Using scope activities (with maximum time execution guards and catches)

4. Discrete-time LTS analysis

LTS: initialization phase



LTS: variation of the dtLTS size





Verification of discrete-time properties Safety Properties

Safety Properties

specify informally that "something bad never happens"

S_1	$[(\neg!LoginOk)^*.?setPosition \lor ?setDestination]$ false
	[(true*.
S_2	$((!getPosition.(\neg?setPosition)^*) (!getDestination.(\neg?setDestination)^*)).$
	!GoodJourney)] false
	$[true^*.?getItinerary.(\neg(!Itinerary \lor !Destination))^*.$
S_3	$(?getPicture \lor ?getRoadMap \lor ?configMode \lor$
	$?setPosition \lor ?setDestination \lor ?getItinerary)] false$
	$[true^*.?getItinerary.(\neg(!Itinerary \lor !Destination))^*.$
S_4	$(time.(\neg(!Itinerary \lor !Destination))^*){51}$.
	$(!Itinerary \lor !Destination)] false$

Verification of discrete-time properties Liveness Properties

Liveness Properties

 specify informally that "something good eventually happens"

L_1	$[true^*.!LoginOk] AF \langle !getPosition \lor !getDestination \lor !GoodJourney \rangle true $
L_2	$[true^*.!GoodJourney.(\tau \lor time)^*] \ \langle (\tau \lor time)^*. !Ready \lor !bye \rangle true)$
L_3	$[true^*.!Ready. time\{50\}]$
L_4	[true*.!Ready.]
	$((\neg(!Itinerary \lor !Destination \lor !Picture \lor !RoadMap))^*.time){51}]$
	$AF\left< :ConnectionError ight>$ true

5. Conclusion

Conclusion

- In domain of SOA, we propose a tool-equipped methodology for:
 - modeling Web services
 - WSMod tool
 - exhaustive simulation algorithm
 - based on a formalization of BPEL semantics (process algebraic rules)
 - analyzing Web services
 - EVALUATOR 4.0 (from CADP toolbox)
 - Discrete-time safety and liveness properties

Illustrated on the example of a GPS Web service

Future Work

Improve the connection between WSMod and CADP

- producing implicit dtLTS (according to the interface defined by Open/Caesar)
- enable on-the-fly verification

Use continuous time models

- Lead to state explosion in presence of numerous timeouts
- Connecting the time automata produced by WSMod with the UPPAAL tool

Handle compositions of multiple Web services

Using tools such as Exp.Open

Formal Modeling and Discrete-Time Analysis of BPEL Web Services

EOMAS 2008

June 16-17, 2008



Sylvain.Rampacek@u-bourgogne.fr LE2I (UMR CNRS 5158) - A5

Radu Mateescu

Radu.Mateescu@inria.fr INRIA - VASY



